UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/535,569	05/19/2005	Kazuhiro Oshika	MAT-8672US	MAT-8672US 7722	
23122 RATNERPRE	7590 11/26/2007 STIA		EXAMINER		
P O BOX 980			PENDLETON, DIONNE		
VALLEY FOR	RGE, PA 19482-0980	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627	-	
			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/535,569	OSHIKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dionne H. Pendleton	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	V IS SET TO EVDIDE 2 MONTH	J(S) OB THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 N</u>	lovember 2007.					
,-	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ' '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
,—	kailiner. Note the attached Offic					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document		ation No				
2. Certified copies of the priority document3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Burea		ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• //	ved.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	,, C	(770 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see After Final Amendment, filed 11/5/2007, with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The last office action filed 09/05/07 has been vacated. The Amendment to claims filed 11/05/2007, has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, Claim 1 recites the limitation "each terminal" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

(Claims 2-8 are rejected due to their claim dependency.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiu (US 2002/0146140) in view of Chomette (Patent Number 6,028,752).
Regarding claim 1,

In figure 6, as best understood with regard to the U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection above, CHIU teaches a loudspeaker apparatus comprising: an elongate printed circuit board (23) having a length greater than a width; and a plurality of speakers (SP1-SP3) connected to the PCB (23) in a substantially straight configuration; each terminal, as illustrated in figure 7, for inputting a signal of the loudspeakers being electrically coupled to a conductive pattern part of the PCB ("23" in figure 6; please note, printed circuit boards are well understood in the art as defining a structure having a plurality of sheets with a conductive pattern formed thereon and disposed in a stacked arrangement); wherein signal inputting parts for inputting a signal from an outside ("29" in figure 7) are formed by leading the conductive pattern part (inherent) to at least both ends of the printed circuit board (23) in the longitudinal direction (see electrical connections provided at opposing ends of the PCB "23" in figure 6); wherein each loudspeaker (SP1-SP3) covers most of a distance extending from edge to edge of said printed circuit board; and further teaches that the loudspeakers (Figure 7) are electrically coupled with one another.

CHIU does not teach that the plurality of loudspeakers (SP1-SP3) are "mounted on" the printed circuit boards along its length.

Application/Control Number:

10/535,569

Art Unit: 2627

CHOMETTE, in **Figures 6-8**, teaches a plurality of embodiments wherein a loudspeaker is alternatively connected to a printed circuit board. In **Figure 1**, Chomette teaches that a loudspeaker (11) may mounted above a printed circuit board (2), similar to the connection arrangement employed by the Chiu reference.

Alternatively, in **Figure 7 and 8**, Chomette teaches that a loudspeaker **(32)** may be "mounted on" the printed circuit board **(31)**, said orientation being apparent from the presentation of the device as illustrated in figure 8.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Chiu and Chomette, choosing instead a surface mount connection between the loudspeakers and PCB of Chiu, such that the PCB extends only the length of the loudspeakers (SP1, SP2 and SP3), thereby minimizing the need for additional space in the housing for separately accommodating a laterally placed PC. Additionally said surface mount technique reduces reliance upon wire connections and ensures a good electrical connection between circuit components.

Examiner note:

A separate PCB may likewise be employed for surface mounting speakers SP4-SP6 thereto. One of ordinary skill in the art would easily provide an electrical connection between adjacent PCBs, without undue experimentation.

Regarding claim 2,

Figures 6 and 7 of Chiu teach that the signal inputting part ("29" in figure 7) comprises a terminal of the PCB (shown but not labeled in figure 6) coupled with a connector (9) which is coupled with a second set of loudspeakers (SP4-SP6).

While, Chomette teaches the obviousness of providing a first and second PCB for surface mounting the first (SP1-SP3) and second (SP4-SP6) set of loudspeakers in Chiu, respectively thereto.

4. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
Chiu (US 2002/0146140) in view of Chomette (Patent Number 6,028,752), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art.

Regarding claims 3 and 6,

The combined disclosures of Chiu and Chomette teach the invention of claims 1 and 2. The combined disclosures fail to clearly teach that the impedance is equalized or approximated to an impedance of one loudspeaker.

However, the Examiner notes the *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (the unchallenged Official Notice from the last Office Action)*, that it is well known in the art and would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a means for equalizing impedance of the drive signals applied to the speaker devices, for the purpose of more accurately reproducing the sound radiation pattern of the sensed acoustic signal.

Application/Control Number:

10/535,569 Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claims 4 and 8,

Chiu teaches that the loudspeakers may be connected in series (Figure 7).

Regarding claims 5 and 7,

The combined disclosures of Chiu and Chomette teach the invention of claims 1 and 2. Chomette teaches further coupling the PCB to additional on-board electronics ("26" in figure 6, etc.).

Chiu and Chomette fail to teach that the electronics include a high cut filter.

However, the Examiner notes the *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (the unchallenged Official Notice from the last Office Action)*, that further connection of a speaker array to a "high cut filter" as claimed, is well known in the art at would be obvious for the purpose of tuning the output of the audio system to a lower audio band of frequencies.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number:

10/535,569

Art Unit: 2627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne H. Pendleton whose telephone number is 571-272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-7:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

D. Pendleton

/Wayne Young/ SPE AU 2627